Approved For Release 2001/10/30 : CIA-RDP57-00384R600400180037-1 OGC Has Reviewed

SECULT Security Information

19 November 1952

CAO, DD/P

Office of General Counsel 25X1A Hardship Claim-

1. Reference is made to memorandum to you dated 6 October 1952 from Chief, SE with cover sheet which has been referred to this office for an opinion. Two problems are presented.

25X1A

a. The first is whether or not may properly be reimbursed for one conthis advance rent of \$120 which he had forfeited when his permanent duty station was changed unexpectedly from

25X1A

omputed at the rate of \$3 per day for 130 days from 23 September 1951, date of PCS to 31 January 1952, the period during which it is stated that incurred additional expense because of inability to find adequate living quarters for his family at

25X1A 25X1A

2. a. The first question presented is one not peculiar to the

25X1A

CIA, but rather may occur anywhere in the government structure and constitutes a normal risk of government service, he it CIA or any other agency. Without dwelling on the regulation or law, it is well established that had been connected with any other branch of the government the claim necessarily would have been denied.

25X1A

b. With respect to the use of the authority contained in for payment of the \$120, attention is invited to the decision of the Comptroller General in connection with the use by OM of its unusual authorities to grant a retroactive pay increase (3. Cosp. Sen. 191 dated 21 November 1951) wherein it is stated in part:

"I feel certain it was not contemplated by the sportsors of the bill or by the Congress that this broad authority would be resorted to, or that it even contemplated a disregard of any control with respect to the normal administrative or operating problems which confront the ordinary Covernment agency."

25X1A

Approved For Release 2001/10/30 CIA-RDP57-00384R000400180037-1

		Security I from the	
2	25X1A 25X1A	c. In summary, it is the opinion of this office that to re- imburse for this type of expenditure which, throughout the govern- ment is considered personal no natter how unfortunate, would in the absence of very peculiar circumstances not here shown be an	
		improper use of the authority contained in	
	25X1A	3. a. With respect to the record question presented, it may be stated that family, had it been moved to at the time of assignment of PCS would have become cligible for temporary lodging allowance under Sec. 901 of the Foreign Service	FOIAb5
	25X1A	Act of 1946 (himself was eligibly for this allowance.) However, from the factual situation presented, it is doubtful if would be subject to a great deal of criticism in failing to do this. Just three weeks previously, after having been reassured of PCS	5X1A I
*	25X1A		5X1A
	25X1A	b. Regardless of the foregoing and of greater importance, how- ever, is the fact that the administrative office involved could very well and simply have provided for situation, part- icularly in view of the unexpected turn of events regarding PCS, by	
	25X1A	delaying his PCS to meet until he should have had a reasonable time to search for family quarters there. He would during this time have been eligible for per diem. Informal inquiry at the State Department reveals that this is a not unusual means of handling a situ-	
25X1A		ation of this nature. It is apparent, therefore, that to pay Mr. claim of \$390 would not subject the Agency so any expense for which it might not have been liable normally.	
	25X1A	c. Based on the foregoing considerations had along the facts as outlined in referenced memorandum, there appears to be no legal objection to the payment of claim for 1370 under the authority granted under	25X1A

25X1A

OGC/OEP:apf Distribution:

2- OGC

attachments: subject correspondence

Approved For Release 2001/10/30 : CIA-RDP57-00384R000400180037-1

ORIGINAL DOCUMENT MISSING PAGE(S):

ATTACHMENT